

THE BOUNDARY PROBLEM IN (SUPER)GRAVITY FROM A GEOMETRIC PERSPECTIVE

Lucrezia Ravera Politecnico di Torino - DISAT

May, 25th 2023 - [GR-QC-Cosmo-Astro] seminar - Star-UBB Seminar Series in Gravitation, Cosmology and Astrophysics

Gravity and supergravity Lagrangians in the presence of a boundary studied from the early seventies on...

- York-Gibbons-Hawking (1972, 1977): Need of adding a boundary term to the gravity action such as to implement Dirichlet boundary conditions for the metric field in early attempts to study the quantization of gravity with a path integral approach
- Horava-Witten (1996): Addition of boundary terms considered to cancel gauge and gravitational anomalies in the Horava-Witten model in 11*D*
- AdS/CFT (1997): Bulk fields (metric) diverge at $\partial M \rightarrow$ Cured by inclusion of counterterms at the boundary (Holographic renormalization)

General lesson: For $\partial M \neq 0$, the bulk theory needs to be supplemented by boundary terms

- 🖙 Gravity case in the geometric (Cartan) approach
- (Super)group-manifold approach to (super)gravity [key aspects]
- Geometric construction of pure D = 4 SUGRA with negative cosmological constant in four dimensions in the presence of a non-trivial spacetime boundary [N = 1]

L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, 1405.2010

Case of vanishing cosmological constant ("flat" SUGRA, no explicit internal scale in the Lagrangian)
 P. Concha, L. R., E. Rodríguez, 1809.07871

IS Application to specific problems in cases where the boundary is located asymptotically + Open directions

Aros, Contreras, Olea, Troncoso, Zanelli (1999); Olea (2005): Diffeomorphism invariance of the bulk Einstein Lagrangian + cosmological constant Λ is broken in the presence of a boundary

 \Rightarrow Restored by adding a topological term (Euler-Gauss-Bonnet):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{EGB}} = \mathcal{R}^{\textit{ab}} \land \mathcal{R}^{\textit{cd}} \epsilon_{\textit{abcd}} = d \left(\omega^{\textit{ab}} \land \mathcal{R}^{\textit{cd}} + \omega^{\textit{a}}_{\ell} \land \omega^{\ell\textit{b}} \land \omega^{\textit{cd}} \right) \epsilon_{\textit{abcd}}$$

 \Rightarrow Background-independent definition of Noether charges, without the need of explicitly imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions on the fields

The expansion of \mathcal{L}_{EGB} in the radial coordinate \perp to the boundary

- · Regularizes action and the related (background-independent) conserved charges
- Reproduces holographic renormalization counterterms

Lie superalgebra

 $[T_A, T_B] = C_{AB}{}^C T_C$

 T_A : Generators in the adjoint representation of the Lie group Dual formulation $\sigma^{A}(T_{B}) = \delta^{A}_{B}$

Maurer-Cartan equations

$$R^{A} \equiv d\sigma^{A} + rac{1}{2}C_{BC}^{A}\sigma^{B}\wedge\sigma^{C} = 0$$

 $d^2 = 0 \leftrightarrow$ Jacobi identities

- R^A are the supercurvatures (super field strengths), building blocks of sugra in the geometric framework
- The Maurer-Cartan equations $R^{A} = 0$ define the vacuum of a SUGRA theory
- Geometric formulation in superspace, spanned by the supervielbein $\{V^a, \Psi\}$ (dual to P_a, Q)

 σ^{A} : Differential 1-forms

GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO SUGRA IN SUPERSPACE

- · Geometric (rheonomic) approach to SUGRA in superspace
- Superfields μ^A(x, θ), supercurvatures R^A; θ spinorial anticommuting coordinates → Restriction to spacetime: θ = dθ = 0
- Superspace basis: $\{V^a, \psi\}$ (supervielbein)

GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO SUGRA IN SUPERSPACE

- · Geometric (rheonomic) approach to SUGRA in superspace
- Superfields μ^A(x, θ), supercurvatures R^A; θ spinorial anticommuting coordinates → Restriction to spacetime: θ = dθ = 0
- Superspace basis: $\{V^a, \psi\}$ (supervielbein)
- In principle: Extra dynamical info. in superspace ⇒ Constraints to have same dynamical info. we have on spacetime ("rheonomic constraints" on the parametrization of the supercurvatures)
 - \Rightarrow Bianchi identities become relations among the superfields and their curvatures (satisfied on-shell)

Realized by requiring the supercurvatures (defined off-shell) to be identified on-shell as particular 2-forms in superspace: Parametrization on a basis of 2-forms in superspace, det. by requiring the "Bianchi relations" to be satisfied

GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO SUGRA IN SUPERSPACE

- · Geometric (rheonomic) approach to SUGRA in superspace
- Superfields μ^A(x, θ), supercurvatures R^A; θ spinorial anticommuting coordinates → Restriction to spacetime: θ = dθ = 0
- Superspace basis: $\{V^a, \psi\}$ (supervielbein)
- In principle: Extra dynamical info. in superspace ⇒ Constraints to have same dynamical info. we have on spacetime ("rheonomic constraints" on the parametrization of the supercurvatures)
 - \Rightarrow Bianchi identities become relations among the superfields and their curvatures (satisfied on-shell)

Realized by requiring the supercurvatures (defined off-shell) to be identified on-shell as particular 2-forms in superspace: Parametrization on a basis of 2-forms in superspace, det. by requiring the "Bianchi relations" to be satisfied

$$R^{A} = R^{A}_{ab} V^{a} \wedge V^{b} + R^{A}_{a\alpha} V^{a} \wedge \psi^{\alpha} + R^{A}_{\alpha\beta} \psi^{\alpha} \wedge \psi^{\beta}$$

 R^{A}_{ab} inner components, R^{A}_{alpha} and $R^{A}_{lphaeta}$ outer components

Bianchi \rightarrow Outer as linear tensor comb. of inner (constraints, phys. equiv. to on-shell ones) \Rightarrow No extra d.o.f.

• SUSY tr. of the fields on spacetime corresponds to diffeo. in the fermionic (θ) directions of superspace \rightarrow Lie derivatives in those directions

Boundary problem considered from several authors, different approaches

Point of contact: To restore all the invariances of a SU(GRA) Lagrangian with A, add topological contributions

A systematic way to face the boundary problem in SUGRA:

Geometric approach to SUGRA in superspace

- The theory is given in terms of superfields 1-forms μ^{A} defined on superspace $\mathcal{M}_{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ (4 spacetime dims.)
- The Lagrangian, $\mathcal{L}[\mu^{\mathcal{A}}]$, is a bosonic 4-form in superspace and the action is obtained by integrating \mathcal{L} on a generic bosonic hypersurface $\mathcal{M}_4(x, \theta) \subset \mathcal{M}_{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ immersed in superspace

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \mathcal{L}[\mu^{\mathcal{A}}]$$

• SUSY transformations in spacetime are diffeomorphisms in the fermionic (θ) directions of superspace:

SUSY:
$$\mathcal{M}_4(x,\theta) \to \mathcal{M}_4(x,\delta\theta)$$

 \Rightarrow Can be described in terms of Lie derivatives ℓ_{ϵ} with fermionic parameter $\epsilon(x, \theta)$ (SUSY parameter)

 $\ell_{\epsilon} = \imath_{\epsilon} d + d\imath_{\epsilon}$, \imath_{ϵ} : contraction operator $\imath_{\epsilon} \left(V^{a} \right) = 0$, $\imath_{\epsilon} \left(\psi \right) = \epsilon$

 ${\sf SUGRA} \text{ theory} \to {\sf Invariance} \text{ of the action under SUSY transformations: } \delta_{\epsilon} {\cal S} \equiv \int_{{\cal M}_4} \delta_{\epsilon} {\cal L} = 0$

• Condition for the superspace Lagrangian to be invariant under local SUSY:

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\mathcal{L} = \ell_{\epsilon}\mathcal{L} = \imath_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}) + \mathrm{d}(\imath_{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}) = 0$$

 \Rightarrow Necessary condition for a SUSY-invariant SUGRA Lagrangian:

 $\imath_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L})=0$

Corresponding to requiring SUSY invariance in the bulk of superspace

ightarrow Assumed true from now on, the Lagrangian satisfying it: Bulk-supergravity Lagrangians, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{bulk}}$

 $\text{SUGRA theory} \rightarrow \text{Invariance of the action under SUSY transformations:} \ \delta_{\epsilon} \mathcal{S} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \delta_{\epsilon} \mathcal{L} = 0$

• Condition for the superspace Lagrangian to be invariant under local SUSY:

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\mathcal{L} = \ell_{\epsilon}\mathcal{L} = \imath_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}) + \mathrm{d}(\imath_{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}) = 0$$

 \Rightarrow Necessary condition for a SUSY-invariant SUGRA Lagrangian:

 $\imath_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L})=0$

Corresponding to requiring SUSY invariance in the bulk of superspace

- \rightarrow Assumed true from now on, the Lagrangian satisfying it: Bulk-supergravity Lagrangians, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}$
- · SUSY invariance of the action then requires the weaker condition on the bulk Lagrangian

$$\delta_{\epsilon} \mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{4}} \mathrm{d}(\imath_{\epsilon} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{bulk}}) = \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} \imath_{\epsilon} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{bulk}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \imath_{\epsilon} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{bulk}}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} = \mathrm{d}\phi$$

In general not satisfied by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}$ in the presence of non-trivial boundary conditions on $\partial \mathcal{M}_4 \neq 0$ \Rightarrow SUSY invariance requires to add boundary terms \rightarrow Consider the full Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{full} = \mathcal{L}_{bulk} + \mathcal{L}_{bdy} \,, \quad \mathcal{L}_{bdy} = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{B}_{(3)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \imath_{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{full}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \imath_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}_{full}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_4} = 0$$

- Fields: V^a (a = 0, 1, 2, 3), spin connection ω^{ab} , gravitino ψ^{α} (Majorana spinor, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$)
- Lorentz-covariant supercurvatures:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}^{ab} &\equiv \mathrm{d}\omega^{ab} + \omega^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \omega^{cb} \\ R^{a} &\equiv \mathcal{D}V^{a} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{a} \wedge \psi = \mathrm{d}V^{a} + \omega^{a}{}_{b} \wedge V^{b} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{a} \wedge \psi \\ \rho &\equiv \mathcal{D}\psi = \mathrm{d}\psi + \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab} \wedge \psi \end{split}$$

• Bulk Lagrangian of pure N = 1, D = 4 SUGRA in superspace, whose e.o.m. admit an AdS₄ vacuum solution with cosmological constant $\Lambda = -3/\ell^2$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}^{ab} V^c V^d \epsilon_{abcd} - \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{a\rho} V^a - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \psi V^a V^b - \frac{1}{8\ell^2} V^a V^b V^c V^d \epsilon_{abcd}$$

• Invariant (in the bulk) under SUSY:

 $\imath_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{bulk}}^{\mathcal{N}=1})=0$

When the background spacetime has a non-trivial boundary:

$$\imath_{\epsilon} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{bulk}}^{\mathcal{N}=1}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} \neq \mathrm{d}\varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta_{\epsilon} \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{bulk}} \neq 0$$

- To restore SUSY invariance: Add boundary terms $\mathcal{L}_{bdy}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = d\mathcal{B}_{(3)}$ to the superspace Lagrangian which do not alter $d\mathcal{L}_{bulk}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ so that still $\imath_{\epsilon}(d\mathcal{L}_{full}^{\mathcal{N}=1}) = 0$
- Possible boundary terms:

$$d\left(\omega^{ab} \wedge \mathcal{R}^{cd} + \omega^{a}_{\ell} \wedge \omega^{\ell b} \wedge \omega^{cd}\right) \epsilon_{abcd} = \mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathcal{R}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}$$
$$d\left(\bar{\psi} \wedge \gamma_{5}\rho\right) = \bar{\rho} \wedge \gamma_{5}\rho - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{ab} \wedge \psi$$

Therefore consider the boundary Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{bdy}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = \alpha \mathcal{R}^{ab} \mathcal{R}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \mathrm{i}\beta \left(\bar{\rho} \gamma_5 \rho - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}^{ab} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \psi \right)$$

• Modify the Lagrangian \rightarrow Full Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{bdy}}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$$

- Consider the boundary contributions in the field eqs. from $\mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$
 - \Rightarrow Constraints on the supercurvatures to hold on the boundary:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{N=1}}{\delta \omega^{ab}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = -\frac{1}{8\alpha} \left(V^a V^b + \frac{1}{2} \beta \bar{\psi} \gamma^{ab} \psi \right)_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{N=1}}{\delta \psi} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = \frac{i}{2\beta} \left(\gamma_a \psi V^a \right)_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} \end{cases}$$

 \mathcal{R}^{ab} , ρ on $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$ dynamically fixed to const. values in the anholonomic basis of the bos. and ferm. vielbeins

- Consider the boundary contributions in the field eqs. from $\mathcal{L}_{full}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$
 - \Rightarrow Constraints on the supercurvatures to hold on the boundary:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{N=1}}{\delta \omega^{ab}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = -\frac{1}{8\alpha} \left(V^a V^b + \frac{1}{2} \beta \bar{\psi} \gamma^{ab} \psi \right)_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{N=1}}{\delta \psi} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = \frac{i}{2\beta} \left(\gamma_a \psi V^a \right)_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} \end{cases}$$

 \mathcal{R}^{ab} , ρ on $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$ dynamically fixed to const. values in the anholonomic basis of the bos. and ferm. vielbeins

- Impose SUSY invariance \rightarrow Using the above eqs. we find:

$$\imath_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1})|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\beta}{16\alpha} - \frac{1}{2\beta} = -\frac{1}{\ell}$$

 \rightarrow Can be solved in terms of the real parameter $k \neq -1$:

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{\ell^2}{1-k^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\ell}{1-k}$$

that is

$$\mathcal{R}^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} = \left[\frac{1-k^{2}}{\ell^{2}}V^{a}V^{b} + \frac{1+k}{2\ell}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi\right]_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}}$$
$$\rho|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} = \frac{\mathrm{i}(1-k)}{2\ell}\left[\gamma_{a}\psi V^{a}\right]_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}}$$

• Setting k = 0, which implies $\alpha = -\ell^2/8$ and $\beta = \ell$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = -\frac{\ell^2}{8} \mathbf{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{R}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \mathrm{i} \ell \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \gamma_5 \wedge \boldsymbol{\rho}$$

in terms of the OSp(1|4)-covariant supercurvatures

$$\mathbf{R}^{ab} \equiv \mathcal{R}^{ab} - \frac{1}{\ell^2} V^a V^b - \frac{1}{2\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{ab} \psi$$
$$\boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \boldsymbol{\rho} - \frac{i}{2\ell} \gamma_a \psi V^a$$
$$\mathbf{R}^a \equiv \boldsymbol{R}^a$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{full}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ above is in fact nothing but the MacDowell-Mansouri Lagrangian

- Setting k = 0, which implies $\alpha = -\ell^2/8$ and $\beta = \ell$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = -rac{\ell^2}{8} \mathbf{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{R}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \mathrm{i} \ell ar{oldsymbol{
ho}} \gamma_5 \wedge oldsymbol{
ho}$$

in terms of the OSp(1|4)-covariant supercurvatures

$$\mathbf{R}^{ab} \equiv \mathcal{R}^{ab} - \frac{1}{\ell^2} V^a V^b - \frac{1}{2\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{ab} \psi$$
$$\boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \boldsymbol{\rho} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\ell} \gamma_a \psi V^a$$
$$\mathbf{R}^a \equiv R^a$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{full}^{\mathcal{N}=1}$ above is in fact nothing but the MacDowell-Mansouri Lagrangian

• The constraints coming from the boundary contributions to the field eqs. take the simple form (for k = 0)

$$\mathbf{R}^{ab}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_4} = 0, \quad \mathbf{\rho}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_4} = 0, \quad \mathbf{R}^{a}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_4} = 0$$

 \Rightarrow The OSp(1|4) supercurvatures vanish at the boundary \rightarrow Boundary enjoys global inv. under OSp(1|4)

- SUSY extension of Olea's results where the invariance of the gravity Lagrangian under spacetime diffeomorphisms was required: Boundary Lagrangian is the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY extension of the EGB term
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUGRA also allows $k \neq 0$, peculiar freedom of the minimal theory

How does the $\Lambda \to 0$ (that is $\ell \to \infty)$ limit work?

• As we can see, direct flat limit of the MacDowell-Mansouri Lagrangian does not appear to be well-defined:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = -rac{\ell^2}{8} \mathbf{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{R}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \mathrm{i} \ell ar{oldsymbol{
ho}} \gamma_5 \wedge oldsymbol{
ho}$$

- · Case where the boundary is placed asymptotically at infinity: BMS group emerges as asymptotic symmetry
- \exists a geometric \mathcal{L}_{bdv} exhibiting super-BMS symmetry?
 - \rightarrow Consider boundary at asymptotic infinity to allow the BMS symmetry to possibly emerge
- · But to implement the geometric approach scheme the boundary is not required to be specified

How does the $\Lambda \to 0$ (that is $\ell \to \infty)$ limit work?

• As we can see, direct flat limit of the MacDowell-Mansouri Lagrangian does not appear to be well-defined:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} = -rac{\ell^2}{8} \mathbf{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathbf{R}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \mathrm{i}\ell ar{
ho} \gamma_5 \wedge oldsymbol{
ho}$$

- · Case where the boundary is placed asymptotically at infinity: BMS group emerges as asymptotic symmetry
- ∃ a geometric L_{bdy} exhibiting super-BMS symmetry?
 → Consider boundary at asymptotic infinity to allow the BMS symmetry to possibly emerge
- · But to implement the geometric approach scheme the boundary is not required to be specified
- Focus here: Restore the SUSY invariance when $\partial \mathcal{M} \neq 0$ by adding boundary terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{flat}} = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}^{ab} V^c V^d \epsilon_{abcd} - \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho V^a$$

The boundary terms that can be constructed using ω^{ab} , V^a , ψ scale as L^0 and L (while EH and RS scale as L^2)

Alternative approach proposed in 1809.07871

- Add new gauge fields with higher scale-weight: $A^{ab} = -A^{ba}$ (s.w. L^2) and χ (s.w. $L^{3/2}$)
- They appear only in the boundary Lagrangian necessary to restore SUSY in the geometric approach (topological role)
- They act as auxiliary fields (off-shell matching of the bosonic and fermionic d.o.f.) under the bulk perspective, implementing the Bianchi identities of Lorentz and supersymmetry respectively, associated with ω^{ab} and ψ

Alternative approach proposed in 1809.07871

- Add new gauge fields with higher scale-weight: $A^{ab} = -A^{ba}$ (s.w. L^2) and χ (s.w. $L^{3/2}$)
- They appear only in the boundary Lagrangian necessary to restore SUSY in the geometric approach (topological role)
- They act as auxiliary fields (off-shell matching of the bosonic and fermionic d.o.f.) under the bulk perspective, implementing the Bianchi identities of Lorentz and supersymmetry respectively, associated with ω^{ab} and ψ

Boundary contributions (not involving a scale parameter):

$$d\left(A^{ab} \wedge \mathcal{R}^{cd} + \omega^{a}{}_{f} \wedge \omega^{fb} \wedge A^{cd} + 2\omega^{a}{}_{f} \wedge A^{fb} \wedge \omega^{cd} + \omega^{ab} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{cd}\right) \epsilon_{abcd} = 2\mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}$$

$$d\left(\bar{\psi}\gamma_{5} \wedge \sigma + \bar{\chi}\gamma_{5} \wedge \rho\right) = 2\bar{\sigma}\gamma_{5} \wedge \rho - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}^{ab} \wedge \bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{ab} \wedge \psi$$

where we have defined $\sigma \equiv \mathcal{D}\chi$ and $\mathcal{F}^{ab} \equiv \mathcal{D}A^{ab}$

Boundary Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{bdy}}^{\mathsf{flat}} = \alpha' \left(2\mathcal{R}^{ab} \mathcal{F}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \right) - \mathrm{i}\beta' \left(2\bar{\sigma}\gamma_5 \rho - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}^{ab} \bar{\chi}\gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \psi \right)$$

- α' and β' are constant dimensionless parameters amounting to the normalization of the auxiliary fields
- + $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bdy}}^{\text{flat}}$ has scale-weight L^2 as the bulk Lagrangian

Full Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\text{flat}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{flat}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{bdy}}^{\text{flat}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}^{ab} V^{c} V^{d} \epsilon_{abcd} - \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{a} \rho V^{a} + \alpha' \left(2 \mathcal{R}^{ab} \mathcal{F}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \right) - \mathrm{i}\beta' \left(2 \bar{\sigma} \gamma_{5} \rho - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}^{ab} \bar{\chi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ab} \psi \right) \end{split}$$

The boundary terms do not affect the bulk, in particular $\imath_{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathsf{flat}})=0$

Full Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\text{flat}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{flat}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{bdy}}^{\text{flat}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}^{ab} V^{c} V^{d} \epsilon_{abcd} - \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{a} \rho V^{a} + \alpha' \left(2 \mathcal{R}^{ab} \mathcal{F}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \right) - \mathrm{i} \beta' \left(2 \bar{\sigma} \gamma_{5} \rho - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}^{ab} \bar{\chi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ab} \psi \right) \end{split}$$

The boundary terms do not affect the bulk, in particular $\imath_{\epsilon}(d\mathcal{L}_{full}^{flat}) = 0$ SUSY invariance of $\mathcal{L}_{full}^{flat}$ requires to verify the condition $\imath_{\epsilon}\left(\mathcal{L}_{full}^{flat}\right)|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} = 0$ Boundary contributions to the field eqs. result in

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}^{ab}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{4}} &= 0\\ \mathcal{F}^{ab}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{4}} &= -\frac{1}{8\alpha'} \left(V^{a}V^{b} + \beta'\bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\psi \right)_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{4}}\\ \rho|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{4}} &= 0\\ \sigma|_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{4}} &= \frac{i}{2\beta'} \left(\gamma_{a}\psi V^{a} \right)_{\partial\mathcal{M}_{4}} \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow Supercurvatures dynamically fixed on $\partial \mathcal{M}_4$ to constant values in an enlarged anholonomic basis, and

$$\imath_{\epsilon} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathsf{flat}} \right) |_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} = \mathbf{0} \,, \quad \alpha' \neq \mathbf{0} \,, \, \beta' \neq \mathbf{0}$$

For $\alpha' = -1/8$ and $\beta' = 1$ (normalization) the emerging algebraic structure is more transparent:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathsf{flat}} = -rac{1}{4}\mathcal{R}^{ab}\wedge\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{cd}\epsilon_{abcd} - 2\mathrm{i}\bar{\Xi}\gamma_5\wedge
ho$$

 \Rightarrow "MacDowell-Mansouri-like" Lagrangian, where

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{ab} &\equiv \mathcal{F}^{ab} - V^a V^b - \bar{\chi} \gamma^{ab} \psi \\ &\equiv &= \sigma - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \gamma_a \psi V^a \end{split}$$

The latter, along with

$$\begin{aligned} R^{ab} &\equiv \mathcal{R}^{ab} \\ \Psi &\equiv \rho \\ R^a &\equiv \mathcal{D} V^a - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi \end{aligned}$$

reproduce the so-called (minimal) Maxwell-covariant supercurvatures

Interpret the boundary constraints

$$R^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4}=0\,,\quad \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4}=0\,,\quad \Psi|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4}=0\,,\quad \Xi|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4}=0$$

as the condition that the super-Maxwell algebra emerges as global symmetry at the boundary (Consistency of the bulk theory: $R^a = 0 \Rightarrow$ For continuity, we also require $R^a|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = 0$) Super-Maxwell algebra:

$$\begin{split} & [J_{ab}, J_{cd}] \propto \eta_{bc} J_{ad} - \eta_{ac} J_{bd} - \eta_{bd} J_{ac} + \eta_{ad} J_{bc} \\ & [J_{ab}, P_c] \propto \eta_{bc} P_a - \eta_{ac} P_b \,, \quad [P_a, P_b] \propto Z_{ab} \\ & [J_{ab}, Z_{cd}] \propto \eta_{bc} Z_{ad} - \eta_{ac} Z_{bd} - \eta_{bd} Z_{ac} + \eta_{ad} Z_{bc} \\ & [J_{ab}, Q] \propto \gamma_{ab} Q \,, \quad [J_{ab}, \Sigma] \propto \gamma_{ab} \Sigma \,, \quad [P_a, Q] \propto \gamma_a \Sigma \\ & \{Q, Q\} \propto C \gamma^a P_a \,, \quad \{Q, \Sigma\} \propto C \gamma^{ab} Z_{ab} \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow Full Lagrangian in terms of the Maxwell supercurvatures:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{full}}^{\mathsf{flat}} = -rac{1}{4} R^{ab} \wedge \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - 2\mathrm{i} ar{\Xi} \gamma_5 \wedge \Psi$$

• A^{ab} and χ auxiliary fields under the bulk perspective, they implement through their field eqs. the Bianchi identities of Lorentz and SUSY (with $R^a = 0$, consistency requirement):

e.o.m.
$$A^{ab} \leftrightarrow D\mathcal{R}^{ab} = 0$$

e.o.m. $\chi \leftrightarrow D\rho - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{R}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi = 0$

• E.o.m. of ω^{ab} and ψ :

e.o.m.
$$\omega^{ab} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{D}\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{ab} - 2R^{[a}{}_{c}A^{c|b]} + \bar{\Xi}\gamma^{ab}\psi - \bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\Psi = 0$$

e.o.m. $\psi \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{D}\Xi - \frac{1}{4}R^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\chi + \frac{i}{2}\gamma_{a}\Psi V^{a} = 0$

The RS e.o.m. of the gravitino is hidden in the 2nd \rightarrow It can be retrieved if we restrict the auxiliary field χ to be defined only on the boundary

• E.o.m. of *V^a*:

$$\frac{1}{2}V^{b}\mathcal{R}^{cd}\epsilon_{abcd}-\bar{\psi}\gamma_{a}\gamma_{5}\rho=0$$

Einstein equations in superspace (written in the Einstein-Cartan formalism)

• A^{ab} and χ auxiliary fields under the bulk perspective, they implement through their field eqs. the Bianchi identities of Lorentz and SUSY (with $R^a = 0$, consistency requirement):

e.o.m.
$$A^{ab} \leftrightarrow D\mathcal{R}^{ab} = 0$$

e.o.m. $\chi \leftrightarrow D\rho - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{R}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi = 0$

• E.o.m. of ω^{ab} and ψ :

e.o.m.
$$\omega^{ab} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{D}\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{ab} - 2R^{[a}{}_{c}A^{c|b]} + \bar{\Xi}\gamma^{ab}\psi - \bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\Psi = 0$$

e.o.m. $\psi \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{D}\Xi - \frac{1}{4}R^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\chi + \frac{i}{2}\gamma_{a}\Psi V^{a} = 0$

The RS e.o.m. of the gravitino is hidden in the 2nd \rightarrow It can be retrieved if we restrict the auxiliary field χ to be defined only on the boundary

• E.o.m. of *V^a*:

$$\frac{1}{2}V^{b}\mathcal{R}^{cd}\epsilon_{abcd}-\bar{\psi}\gamma_{a}\gamma_{5}\rho=0$$

Einstein equations in superspace (written in the Einstein-Cartan formalism)

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\text{flat}}$ cannot be directly obtained as a flat limit of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\mathcal{N}=1} \rightarrow \text{Nevertheless}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\text{flat}}$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ limit of a theory originating from AdS₄ SUGRA (but with super AdS-Lorentz covariance), extra 1-form gauge fields not only in the boundary Lagrangian but also in the bulk one

RECOVERING FLAT SUGRA WITH BOUNDARY FROM SUPER-ADS4

Start from the AdS₄ SUGRA and perform the following redefinition:

• Introduce a torsionful spin connection: $\hat{\omega}^{ab} \equiv \omega^{ab} + \frac{1}{\ell^2} A^{ab}$ so that

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}^{ab} &\to \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{ab} = \mathrm{d}\omega^{ab} + \omega^{a}{}_{c}\omega^{cb} + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}A^{ab} + \frac{1}{\ell^{4}}A^{a}{}_{c}A^{cb} \equiv \mathcal{R}^{ab} + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}\mathbb{F}^{ab} \\ R^{a} &\to \hat{R}^{a} = \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)}V^{a} + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}A^{a}{}_{b}V^{b} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{a}\psi \end{split}$$

where $\mathbb{F}^{ab} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)} A^{ab} + \frac{1}{\ell^2} A^a{}_c A^{cb}$

• Redefine the gravitino 1-form with the introduction of the new spinor 1-form χ : $\psi \to \psi + \frac{1}{\ell}\chi$ so that

$$\hat{R}^{a} \to \mathfrak{R}^{a} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)} V^{a} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{a} \psi + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}} A^{a}{}_{b} V^{b} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{a} \chi - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\ell^{2}} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{a} \chi$$
$$\rho \to \hat{\rho} = \mathcal{D}_{(\omega)} \psi + \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\mathcal{D}_{(\omega)} \chi + \frac{1}{4\ell} A^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi + \frac{1}{4\ell^{2}} A^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \chi \right) \equiv \rho + \frac{1}{\ell} \Phi$$

where $\Phi \equiv D_{(\omega)}\chi + \frac{1}{4\ell}A^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi + \frac{1}{4\ell^2}A^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\chi$

\Rightarrow Redefined super field strengths:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}^{ab} &\equiv \mathrm{d}\omega^{ab} + \omega^{a}{}_{c}\omega^{cb} \\ \mathfrak{R}^{a} &\equiv \mathcal{D}V^{a} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{a}\psi + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}\mathcal{A}^{a}{}_{b}V^{b} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\ell}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{a}\chi - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\ell^{2}}\bar{\chi}\gamma^{a}\chi \\ \rho &\equiv \mathcal{D}\psi \\ \mathbb{F}^{ab} &\equiv \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}^{ab} + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}\mathcal{A}^{a}{}_{c}\mathcal{A}^{cb} \\ \Phi &\equiv \mathcal{D}\chi + \frac{1}{4\ell}\mathcal{A}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi + \frac{1}{4\ell^{2}}\mathcal{A}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\chi \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow Bulk Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}^{\ell}_{\text{bulk}} &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abcd} R^{ab} V^c V^d + \frac{1}{4\ell^2} \epsilon_{abcd} \mathbb{F}^{ab} V^c V^d - \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho V^a - \frac{1}{\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \Phi V^a \\ &- \frac{1}{\ell^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \Phi V^a - \frac{1}{\ell} \bar{\chi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho V^a - \frac{1}{8\ell^2} \epsilon_{abcd} V^a V^b V^c V^d \\ &- \frac{i}{2\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \psi V^a V^b - \frac{i}{\ell^2} \bar{\chi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \psi V^a V^b - \frac{i}{2\ell^3} \bar{\chi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \chi V^a V^b \end{split}$$

In the presence of a non-trivial boundary, consider the full Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}^{\ell}_{\text{full}} &= \mathcal{L}^{\ell}_{\text{bulk}} + \mathcal{L}^{\ell}_{\text{bdy}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abcd} R^{ab} V^{c} V^{d} + \frac{1}{4\ell^{2}} \epsilon_{abcd} \mathbb{F}^{ab} V^{c} V^{d} - \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{a} \rho V^{a} - \frac{1}{\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{a} \Phi V^{a} \\ &- \frac{1}{\ell^{2}} \bar{\chi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{a} \Phi V^{a} - \frac{1}{\ell} \bar{\chi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{a} \rho V^{a} - \frac{1}{8\ell^{2}} \epsilon_{abcd} V^{a} V^{b} V^{c} V^{d} \\ &- \frac{i}{2\ell} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ab} \psi V^{a} V^{b} - \frac{i}{\ell^{2}} \bar{\chi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ab} \psi V^{a} V^{b} - \frac{i}{2\ell^{3}} \bar{\chi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ab} \chi V^{a} V^{b} \\ &+ \mu \epsilon_{abcd} \left(2R^{ab} \mathbb{F}^{cd} + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}} \mathbb{F}^{ab} \mathbb{F}^{cd} \right) \\ &- i\nu \left(2\bar{\rho} \gamma_{5} \Phi + \bar{\Phi} \gamma_{5} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} R^{ab} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ab} \chi - \frac{1}{4\ell} \mathbb{F}^{ab} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{ab} \chi \right) \end{split}$$

Boundary contributions to the field eqs. \Rightarrow Supercurvatures fixed to constant values on ∂M_4 in an enlarged anholonomic basis:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} &= -\frac{\nu}{16\mu\ell} \left(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi \right)_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} \\ \mathbf{F}^{ab}|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} &= -\frac{1}{8\mu} \left(V^{a}V^{b} + \nu\bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\psi + \frac{\nu}{2\ell}\bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\chi \right)_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} \\ \rho|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} &= 0 \\ \Phi|_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} &= \frac{i}{2\nu} \left(\gamma_{a}\psi V^{a} + \frac{1}{\ell}\gamma_{a}\chi V^{a} \right)_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{4}} \end{aligned}$$

Condition $\iota_{\epsilon} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{full}}^{\ell} \right) |_{\partial \mathcal{M}_4} = 0$ for SUSY of the full Lagrangian realized when $(h \neq -1)$

$$u = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{1 - h^2}, \quad \nu = \frac{1}{1 - h}$$

Setting $h = 0 \Rightarrow \mu = -1/8$ and $\nu = 1$; $\mathcal{L}^{\ell}_{\text{full}} \rightarrow \text{MacDowell-Mansouri-like}$:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\ell}_{\mathsf{full}} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{R}^{ab} \mathfrak{F}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{8\ell^2} \mathfrak{F}^{ab} \mathfrak{F}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - 2\mathrm{i}\bar{\Omega}\gamma_5 \rho - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\ell} \bar{\Omega}\gamma_5 \Omega_{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\bar{\Omega}\gamma_5 \Omega_{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i$$

Super field strengths in $\mathcal{L}^{\ell}_{\text{full}}$:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{R}^{ab} &\equiv \mathrm{d}\omega^{ab} + \omega^{a}{}_{c}\omega^{cb} - \frac{1}{2\ell}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi \\ \mathfrak{R}^{a} &\equiv \mathcal{D}V^{a} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{a}\psi + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}A^{a}{}_{b}V^{b} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\ell}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{a}\chi - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\ell^{2}}\bar{\chi}\gamma^{a}\chi \\ \rho &\equiv \mathcal{D}\psi \\ \mathfrak{F}^{ab} &\equiv \mathcal{D}A^{ab} - V^{a}V^{b} - \bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\psi + \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}A^{a}{}_{c}A^{cb} - \frac{1}{2\ell}\bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\chi \\ \Omega &\equiv \mathcal{D}\chi - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\gamma_{a}\psi V^{a} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\ell}\gamma_{a}\chi V^{a} + \frac{1}{4\ell}A^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi + \frac{1}{4\ell^{2}}A^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\chi \end{split}$$

R.h.s. of these supercurvatures to zero (from boundary constraints): Maurer-Cartan eqs. associated with a SUSY extension of the so-called AdS-Lorentz algebra (semi-simple extension of Poincaré algebra)

 $\ell \to \infty$ of $\mathcal{L}^\ell_{\mathsf{full}}$ is precisely $\mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{flat}}_{\mathsf{full}}$

(Holds also for the supercurvatures and global symmetry at the boundary: Super AdS-Lorentz in the limit $\ell \to \infty$ reduces to super-Maxwell)

Solution AVZ D = 3 model (exhibiting "unconventional SUSY") from $\mathcal{N} = 2$, D = 4 pure SUGRA with a 3D boundary L. Andrianopoli, B. L. Cerchiai, R. D'Auria, M. Trigiante, 1801.08081

AVZ model: Based on a 3D CS Lagrangian with OSp(2|2) supergroup, but features a Dirac spinor \(\chi^{(AVZ)}\) as the only
propagating d.o.f.; Important applications in the description of graphene-like systems near the Dirac points

P. D. Alvarez, M. Valenzuela, J. Zanelli, 1109.3944

χ^(AVZ) emerges by imposing the following condition on the spacetime component of the odd CS connection 1-form Ψ:

$$\chi^{(\text{AVZ})}_{lpha} = \mathrm{i}\left(\gamma^{i}
ight)_{lphaeta} \Psi^{eta}_{\mu} oldsymbol{e}^{\mu}_{i} \qquad (lpha,eta=1,2\,,\,i=0,1,2\,,\,\mu=0,1,2)$$

• Correspondence with the CS model of AVZ found for specific choice of the D = 3 boundary: Local AdS₃ geometry at spatial infinity of the D = 4 theory (asymptotically AdS₄ solutions featuring this boundary geometry comprise the "ultraspinning limit" of AdS₄-Kerr black hole)

Reference AVZ D = 3 model (exhibiting "unconventional SUSY") from $\mathcal{N} = 2$, D = 4 pure SUGRA with a 3D boundary L. Andrianopoli, B. L. Cerchiai, R. D'Auria, M. Trigiante, 1801.08081

P. D. Alvarez, M. Valenzuela, J. Zanelli, 1109.3944

χ^(AVZ) emerges by imposing the following condition on the spacetime component of the odd CS connection 1-form Ψ:

$$\chi^{(\text{AVZ})}_{lpha} = \mathrm{i}\left(\gamma^{i}
ight)_{lphaeta} \Psi^{eta}_{\mu} oldsymbol{e}^{\mu}_{i} \qquad (lpha,eta=1,2\,,\,i=0,1,2\,,\,\mu=0,1,2)$$

- Correspondence with the CS model of AVZ found for specific choice of the D = 3 boundary: Local AdS₃ geometry at spatial infinity of the D = 4 theory (asymptotically AdS₄ solutions featuring this boundary geometry comprise the "ultraspinning limit" of AdS₄-Kerr black hole)
- № N = 2 SUSY extension of EGB term → Counterterms for holographic renormalization? Holographic framework for N = 2, D = 4 pure AdS₄ SUGRA, including all the contributions from the fermionic fields
 L. Andrianopoli, B. L. Cerchiai, R. Matrecano, O. Miskovic, R. Noris, R. Olea, L. R., M. Trigiante, 2010.02119

Reference AVZ D = 3 model (exhibiting "unconventional SUSY") from $\mathcal{N} = 2$, D = 4 pure SUGRA with a 3D boundary L. Andrianopoli, B. L. Cerchiai, R. D'Auria, M. Trigiante, 1801.08081

• AVZ model: Based on a 3*D* CS Lagrangian with OSp(2|2) supergroup, but features a Dirac spinor $\chi^{(AVZ)}$ as the only propagating d.o.f.; Important applications in the description of graphene-like systems near the Dirac points

P. D. Alvarez, M. Valenzuela, J. Zanelli, 1109.3944

χ^(AVZ) emerges by imposing the following condition on the spacetime component of the odd CS connection 1-form Ψ:

$$\chi^{(\text{AVZ})}_{lpha} = \mathrm{i}\left(\gamma^{i}
ight)_{lphaeta} \Psi^{eta}_{\mu} oldsymbol{e}^{\mu}_{i} \qquad (lpha,eta=1,2\,,\,i=0,1,2\,,\,\mu=0,1,2)$$

- Correspondence with the CS model of AVZ found for specific choice of the D = 3 boundary: Local AdS₃ geometry at spatial infinity of the D = 4 theory (asymptotically AdS₄ solutions featuring this boundary geometry comprise the "ultraspinning limit" of AdS₄-Kerr black hole)
- $\overset{\textbf{ICS}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ SUSY extension of EGB term} \rightarrow \text{Counterterms for holographic renormalization? Holographic framework for } \mathcal{N} = 2, D = 4 \text{ pure AdS}_4 \text{ SUGRA, including all the contributions from the fermionic fields}$

L. Andrianopoli, B. L. Cerchiai, R. Matrecano, O. Miskovic, R. Noris, R. Olea, L. R., M. Trigiante, 2010.02119

Possible applications to flat SUGRA in a holographic context? \rightarrow A natural boundary dual to flat gravity has been recently identified in the framework of Carrollian fluids (BMS₄ \cong conformal Carroll) \rightarrow At SUSY level?

L. Ciambelli, C. Marteau, A. C. Petkou, P. M. Petropoulos, K. Siampos, 1802.06809

OPEN DIRECTIONS

Regarding the geometric approach to the boundary problem in SUGRA:

- Extension to higher-dimensional, as well as to N-extended, pure or matter coupled, SUGRA models (including fields with spin < 1)</p>
 - The SUSY extension of the EGB term is unique for a given theory with $N \ge 2$ SUSY; it is total derivative, corresponding to a boundary term in superspace
 - · Topological index in superspace associated with this invariant?
 - · Could be investigated using the formalism of integral forms in superspace

L. Castellani, R. Catenacci, P. A. Grassi, 1409.0192, 1503.07886

OPEN DIRECTIONS

Regarding the geometric approach to the boundary problem in SUGRA:

- Extension to higher-dimensional, as well as to N-extended, pure or matter coupled, SUGRA models (including fields with spin < 1)</p>
 - The SUSY extension of the EGB term is unique for a given theory with $N \ge$ 2 SUSY; it is total derivative, corresponding to a boundary term in superspace
 - · Topological index in superspace associated with this invariant?
 - · Could be investigated using the formalism of integral forms in superspace

L. Castellani, R. Catenacci, P. A. Grassi, 1409.0192, 1503.07886

Regarding applications in the context of holography:

- Holographic contact with the AVZ model and "SCFT side" \rightarrow Dual field theory of which the AVZ model provides an effective description? Still dual SCFT?
- Application of flat SUGRA with boundary in the geometric approach in the context of flat holography
 - Role of the "topological auxiliary fields" A^{ab} and χ ?
 - Relation between super-Maxwell and super-BMS₄ (or super-Carroll)?
 - First step: Intrinsic description of the boundary Lagrangian for the case of a null boundary geometry, decomposition of tensorial structures w.r.t. those covariant under the symmetries of the chosen boundary

OPEN DIRECTIONS

Regarding the geometric approach to the boundary problem in SUGRA:

- Extension to higher-dimensional, as well as to N-extended, pure or matter coupled, SUGRA models (including fields with spin < 1)</p>
 - The SUSY extension of the EGB term is unique for a given theory with $N \ge 2$ SUSY; it is total derivative, corresponding to a boundary term in superspace
 - · Topological index in superspace associated with this invariant?
 - · Could be investigated using the formalism of integral forms in superspace

L. Castellani, R. Catenacci, P. A. Grassi, 1409.0192, 1503.07886

Regarding applications in the context of holography:

- Holographic contact with the AVZ model and "SCFT side" \rightarrow Dual field theory of which the AVZ model provides an effective description? Still dual SCFT?
- Application of flat SUGRA with boundary in the geometric approach in the context of flat holography
 - Role of the "topological auxiliary fields" A^{ab} and χ ?
 - Relation between super-Maxwell and super-BMS₄ (or super-Carroll)?
 - First step: Intrinsic description of the boundary Lagrangian for the case of a null boundary geometry, decomposition of tensorial structures w.r.t. those covariant under the symmetries of the chosen boundary

THANK YOU!